1	STATE OF NEW YORK
2	COUNTY OF MONROE SUPREME COURT
3	x
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, : IND # 2013-0954
5	-vs-
6	ANTHONY MILLER, : JURY TRIAL
7	Defendant. :
8	x
9	Hall of Justice Rochester, New York 14614
10	September 9, 2014
11	BEFORE:
12	HONORABLE THOMAS E. MORAN,
13	Supreme Court Justice
14	APPEARANCES:
15	SANDRA DOORLEY, ESQ.
16	District Attorney - County of Monroe 47 South Fitzhugh Street Rochester, New York 14614
17	Appearing for the People of the State of New York
18	By: MICHAEL HARRIGAN, ESQ. Assistant District Attorney
19	TIMOTHY P. DONAHER, ESQ.
20	Public Defender - County of Monroe 10 North Fitzhugh Street Rochester, New York 14614
21	Attorneys for the Defendant
22	By: JOSHUA STUBBE, ESQ. Assistant Public Defender
23	ANTHONY MILLER
24	Defendant
25	CAROL P. RAES, C.S.R. Senior Court Reporter

Case 6:22-cv-06069-MAV-MJP Document 64-27 Filed 01/09/25 Page 1 of 17 1

THE COURT: You are Anthony Miller?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

б

THE COURT: You appear here today with your attorney, Mr. Stubbe, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrigan for the People.

We're here for the purposes of a jury trial; however,

before we begin, there are two things I want to deal

with. One is the sidebar waiver.

Mr. Miller, we talked briefly last week about whether you wanted to come up to the bench on those occasions when I call the lawyers to the bench or when we have a bench conference. The reason for those is usually scheduling; more importantly, to talk about pieces of evidence which may or may not get admitted ultimately.

THE DEFENDANT: Right.

THE COURT: Obviously, the more people we have up here, the louder we have to be, the greater the likelihood the jury can hear all of those things. I'm positive, because I know Mr. Stubbe pretty well, that the minute he gets away from this bench and sits back down, if you're there, he's going to tell you exactly what went on. But you have an absolute right to come to the bench if you want. You can waive coming up to the bench if you want. It's totally up to you.

(COURT EXHIBIT 1 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Stubbe, I received a motion yesterday from you. Would you like to articulate that on the record, please?

MR. STUBBE: Judge, I would. I'd actually like to amplify based upon some information that I received from Mr. Harrigan just today. I don't know when he sent the e-mail, to be fair to him. I didn't get it until this morning. I received a PDF that's an Investigative Action Report from Officer Nolan Wengert, which states, in sum and substance, that days after this alleged robbery happened, he contacted the victim and the victim — or the victim contacted him, and the victim gave him the serial number and his Apple ID so that he could use the Find My iPhone, I believe, app to see if the phone was still active, and they found that that phone was still active two days after this robbery in an area near Genesee and West High Terrace.

Now, at that time, Mr. Miller was verifiably in the Monroe County Jail. When Investigator Wengert activated the phone—you can set it. It makes the phone ring or an alarm goes off—a group of approximately 30 people, he states, were there, and even though there were uniformed officers in the area, the alarm went off, the people dispersed. He wasn't able to get any further

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

information. I feel like -- and I again just received this today even though it's, I think, pretty clearly Rosario, was a document created for Mr. Wengert. He did testify at the Wade hearing that we had. I believe it's also Brady information that I should have been provided with immediately. I believe that I would be ineffective in not taking a look at the Apple records or the Find My iPhone records or having contact with the provider of the phone to see if we could use cell tower triangulation to try to get a better idea where this phone was, at least during the two days after my client was in jail that the phone was being used. I don't know if it was used afterward, but my receipt of this in the last minute is something I certainly would have put in my written motion to address, my written motion, a little more fully. The reason I asked for --

THE COURT: Let's be clear. The motion you submitted yesterday is a motion for adjournment of the trial based upon different information than you just put on the record. If you would articulate that at this juncture, I'd appreciate it.

MR. STUBBE: Correct. It was a motion basically saying that about a week ago, Investigator -- Officer Daryl Pierson, RPD Officer Daryl Pierson, was shot and killed, and that since that time, doing a quick

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

search on the Democrat & Chronicle, I found that there are, I believe, 23 articles and counting about that. There are too many to note, but hundreds, if not thousands of comments on those articles.

The tenor of the community right now, I feel, is so pro police and/or sympathetic to the police that a defense where I'm functionally saying that the officers didn't do a good job or possibly withheld evidence, I believe, would go over like a lead balloon these days, and I don't believe that Mr. Miller right now could get a fair trial in this community. I believe -- it's obviously his constitutional right to have that, and that this interceding event has destroyed his ability to do that.

I believe what also tends -- or I would think tends to have you decide toward an adjournment is that the district attorney asked for an adjournment on this case simply due to Investigator Wengert's unavailability, for training purposes. I don't know if we ever went over whether his training was scheduled before or after this trial date, but you set the trial date many, many months before our original June trial date. And based upon that -- that's certainly a reason to adjourn the case, but I don't believe it's nearly as compelling a reason as a fair trial for a defendant.

Based upon all of those factors, and I think possibly even moreso based upon this late turnover of this evidence -- and I'm not saying this is Mr. Harrigan. I'm fairly certain Mr. Harrigan will tell you he just received this from Investigator Wengert, but based upon all of those factors, I believe we are entitled to an adjournment.

Finally, my client is out of custody, so it's not prolonging any sort of pretrial custody that might be a consideration for the Court, and I don't believe I put that in my papers.

THE COURT: I think you did. With respect to the new information as it relates to the cell phone, did I hear you correctly that you would be in a position to want to subpoen the provider for the purposes of looking at the records of the phone?

MR. STUBBE: Correct.

THE COURT: Do you have the records of the phone?

MR. HARRIGAN: I have the records of the phone for phone call purposes. I don't have any pings or -there was no -- no warrant or no subpoena for any triangulation or any of that. I can provide -- I didn't intend on -- in my mind, the phone records don't really tell me anything. The phone was turned off right after

and that --

THE COURT: So there's no phone calls after the time of the alleged theft?

MR. HARRIGAN: Just, your Honor -- while I'm looking for that, I can tell the Court that with regard to that document, I met with Investigator Wengert at approximately 4:30 yesterday, 4:30 p.m. After our meeting, that's when I e-mailed it to Mr. Stubbe. That's the first time I've seen that document or was aware of that document. I told Investigator Wengert that. He recalled providing that to me at grand jury. I was at grand jury with Investigator Wengert. I've searched my files. I've searched every piece of paper. I don't have an independent recollection of that, and I don't have that in my file.

will subscribe no ill intent on your part because I know better. You are not of that vein. If you had it, I'm sure you would have turned it over. However, the police are your responsibility, and the failure to turn that over is, I think, fairly problematic. Here's what I'm thinking: If we don't have the records -- I'm trying to figure out whether there would be records that even existed that would give locations of the phone if it was turned off, and I don't think so.

MR. STUBBE: Judge, I can -- having subpoenaed and done a lot of work in this area, it would not. However, it's clear that on September 27th, the phone was on or else this Find My iPhone app, assuming that's what he used, would not work, and the fact that it did work indicates that the phone was, at least on on the 27th. When a phone is on, it's being tracked at all times. This is an Apple iPhone. They're being tracked at all times. The provider doesn't routinely give out that information, but they certainly will based upon a judicial subpoena, so for any time it was on during those days, I think it's very --

THE COURT: Are there any calls after the time of the theft?

MR. HARRIGAN: Your Honor, I do have records. I believe they're from the victim. I'm not able to confirm that. I don't have all my paperwork here with me, and there are calls, actually September 25, September 26, September 27, and ends on September 27th. The reason why I say I don't know if it's the victim's, it's under a completely different name and a different address.

THE COURT: But it's the right phone number.

MR. HARRIGAN: I believe it is. I believe it is. I can't recall for certain without seeing -- It is,

your Honor. Mr. Stubbe just showed me the phone number.

MR. STUBBE: Judge, I obviously state that's

Brady, also.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrigan, we're not having a trial. Normally, I could give a short adjournment. I know we're only going to pick a jury today and then tomorrow morning we're not going to be in session, but I don't -- if it was just the review of the records that you needed to see, we'd just do the adjournment. What you're going to do is backtrack on those phone numbers and go find out who had the phone, right?

MR. STUBBE: Correct.

THE COURT: That can't get done between today and tomrrow.

MR. STUBBE: I'll have a subpoena to you by the end of business today because I know these phone companies won't do it based upon my signature.

THE COURT: It sounds like Mr. Harrigan has in his possession the phone records which would show outgoing and incoming. If that's the case, I would assume you're going to be looking for who was called and who called that phone.

MR. STUBBE: Correct, and also, I will be subpoening the -- if they have them -- either the GPS record or the cell tower ping records.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrigan, can I see those real 1 2 quick? 3 MR. HARRIGAN: You can, your Honor. Let me 4 make a -- I made a notation to myself. I've talked to 5 the victim. He transferred his number to actually 6 another phone, so I'm not sure this is the actual --7 because obviously, it doesn't mean just because you have 8 a number, you can transfer it to another phone. 9 that note, so I'm not even confident this is from that phone because I know I made that note for a reason. 10 11 THE COURT: He changed his number? 12 MR. HARRIGAN: No, he told me he transferred 13 his number to another phone, so he had the same number 14 but he used another phone, so those records are for that 15 number, not that phone. 16 THE COURT: Do we know when he changed it? 17 MR. HARRIGAN: I'm not sure of that, your 18 Honor. I know it was --19 THE COURT: Can you call him? 20 MR. HARRIGAN: I can call him, yes. 21 THE COURT: Let's find out. If he changed --22 if he assigned a different phone to that number within 20 23 minutes of the theft, it's a whole different story. 24 MR. STUBBE: Maybe, Judge, but those records 25 go through the 27th. I don't know when they stop and if

the 27th is actually the day they stop altogether.

THE COURT: To get the Find The iPhone, don't you have to dial the number?

MR. STUBBE: No, you have to have the --

THE COURT: Serial number?

MR. STUBBE: I believe the serial number. You have to have the Apple ID and a password is all I believe you need. I think once you plug those in, then it uses the Apple ID to identify all devices that are synced, for lack of a better word, to that account.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HARRIGAN: I can just tell the Court that this subpoena I requested from September 25, 2013 to present, it was faxed on September 27th, so that's what the records are for. So anything after that, I don't have. I wouldn't know.

MR. STUBBE: Judge, simply the records would be -- all I'm looking for, even if he did transfer the phone records -- or the phone number, certainly would be a reasonable thing to do. That doesn't mean that they still wouldn't be able to track the phone through a GPS or cell tower triangulation. Additionally, I don't know how it would exactly work that if he transferred the number to another phone, how the Find My iPhone -- doesn't actually say "Find My iPhone" on the paperwork.

I know it is a common investigative tool that the police 1 officers use. I don't know how that also wouldn't be 2 transferred to a different phone as opposed to staying on the old one, but these are, obviously, things I would have looked into had I had that paperwork. I can tell the Court I have MR. HARRIGAN: inquired throughout this case from Investigator Wengert why there wasn't any pinging on the phone or some type of investigative technique such as that. His answer to me was the phone was turned off, but then I did receive that yesterday, so the entire time, I had -- my impression was the phone was off, nothing came back, so there was no use to try to get the pinging records. Then I received that yesterday. THE COURT: Can you call Wengert?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HARRIGAN: I can.

THE COURT: Can you just call him for me?

MR. HARRIGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Call him. See where he is.

MR. HARRIGAN: Okay.

THE COURT: If he's close, let's have a visit.

MR. HARRIGAN: My guess is he's not close.

THE COURT: Here's what I need to know: need to know when the alleged victim transferred the number to another phone because Wengert keeps saying that

the phone was turned off, and I don't know if he means it was switched to another device, the number was switched to another device. We're still left with the ultimate issue of whether --

MR. STUBBE: Judge --

THE COURT: But you ping a number.

MR. STUBBE: No, you ping an ID. You ping a serial number, I guess, not a phone number.

THE COURT: If you don't have a provider, if he takes the phone and -- all you have left is a handheld laptop in essence, right?

MR. STUBBE: With a GPS locater. They also still have cell service because every phone ever made can dial 911. I believe that even in an inactive or unactivated phone, you can still use it on WiFi. That would still be transmitting the serial number. I think it's an ESIN number.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrigan, here's the problem.
MR. HARRIGAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't think we know enough. I can't make a decision of this magnitude without having actual knowledge of exactly what's going on, and if I knew more about how the phone systems worked or any of that, I'd be in a different position, but I think I have to give Mr. Stubbe and Mr. Miller the opportunity to do

1 some digging.

MR. HARRIGAN: I apologize to the Court and counsel.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrigan, forgive me for this. Two things: Number one, I'm not blaming you because you're a stand-up, honest, forthright guy. I don't have any doubt about that. Number two, as a matter of policy, these things crop up way too many times. You know, I was in your position for many, many years, and I know stuff happens, but you know what I used to say? If you wrote it down, even a plate number, stick it in the xerox machine, make a copy of it and send it to us. We're just a little lax on Rosario, and in this case, he has an argument that it's Brady. It's a little stretch, but Rosario, clearly.

MR. HARRIGAN: And, your Honor, it's not -- I offer something not as trying to put blame on someone else or excuse the conduct, but usually, that is what I do before a hearing. Unfortunately, I did not conduct the hearing in this case, so it was --

THE COURT: Again, I think Mr. Stubbe would agree with me --

MR. STUBBE: I do.

THE COURT: -- that you're not one of the guys we worry about.

MR. HARRIGAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: But I can't have the trial, and you gotta get me a subpoena because a short adjournment won't resolve the issues that are outstanding. Let's see what I can do here. This is going to be awhile. What are the chances you guys are free on the 14th of October?

MR. HARRIGAN: I am free.

MR. STUBBE: I am, too, Judge.

THE COURT: Wow.

MR. STUBBE: How did we not talk about that date yesterday? That was for a brand new case.

THE COURT: I'm going to put you in as number two -- actually, number three. The first two -- I'm pretty sure the first two are going to settle after what I learned yesterday, so if we can put this down for October 14th, that should give you enough time to get your subpoenaed materials back and review those.

THE CLERK: So that is at 2 o'clock?

THE COURT: 10/14.

THE CLERK: The holiday is on the 13th.

Fourteenth.

THE COURT: What would I do without you? It will be 2 o'clock. Didn't have to chime in quite so quickly. So Mr. Curran, if you'd tell the Commissioner we can't do anything today.

1 THE CLERK: I shall. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Miller, Mr. Stubbe, Mr. Harrigan, we are set now for October 14th at 2 o'clock in 3 4 the afternoon for trial. 5 Mr. Miller, I've told you this before, but I'm going to repeat it, simply because I have to. You have a 6 7 duty and obligation to be here each and every time I 8 direct. If you fail to show up, matters are going to 9 take place in your absence. Right now, you are scheduled 10 for a new trial date of October 14 at 2 o'clock in the 11 afternoon. If you fail to show up on that day, trial 12 will go on in your absence. Do you understand? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 16 (Proceedings concluded.) 17 18 CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT 19 20 21 CAROL P. RAES, C.S.R., Senior Court Reporter 22 23

24

25